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Embodied Placemaking in Urban Public Spaces, Parts I and II

A Center for 21st Century Studies Symposium
co-sponsored by UWM Buildings-Landscapes-Cultures Initiative, Center for Jewish Studies, Cultures & Communities Program, Peck School of the Arts, Departments of Anthropology and Geography, and the Urban Studies Program

Part I: Friday, October 8, 2010
With Swati Chattopadhyay, Jennifer Cousineau, Charlotte Fonrobert, James Rosas, Joseph Sciorra, and Karen E. Till
Organized by Arijit Sen (Architecture) and Lisa Silverman (History)

Part II: Friday, April 29, 2011
With Rachel Breunlin, Elizabeth Currid, Emanuela Guano, Jennifer Geigel Mikulay, Carl Nightingale, Charlie Todd (pending), and Janet Zweig
Organized by Merry Wiesner-Hanks (History) and Kate Kramer (C21)

On the face of it, time and place are obvious units of analysis. Recent scholarship and theoretical reflection in anthropology, history, architecture, urban studies, and other fields have centered on them. Much of this has focused on the ways in which we stop and take notice of extraordinary places, or write about special events and buildings. Ordinary moments rarely enter these narratives, yet time and place frame the experience of the everyday world and reality of today’s cities.

This symposium will focus on how people engage the material and social worlds of the urban environment via the rhythms of everyday life and the ways physiological bodily responses get implicated in the making and experiencing of place. We call this process “embodied placemaking.” It is embodied because it is intensely experienced and interpreted at the level of the human body via sensory responses. These processes frame the ways we remember places and events, engaging our bodies physiologically, psychologically, and socially. Embodied placemaking is a temporal process, often ephemeral and transient. It occurs on streets, edges of buildings, interiors, and in plazas. It happens momentarily or persists over days, repeats seasonally or remains a historical memory of an event from the past. Its very ordinariness and ethereality speak of deeply engrained cultural practices and knowledges that are so integral to our experiences and expectations of a city that we never question them.
Our speakers address embodied placemaking from a range of disciplinary perspectives and at multiple spatial and temporal scales. Several explore how buildings and cities can be designed in order to encourage and enhance possibilities of such engagements; others present case studies of such processes in contemporary cities. Several theorize on the cognitive and sensory processes by which individuals make place while others study the politics of embodied placemaking.

General issues that will be raised include:

- How can embodied placemaking help us understand the production of the public realm in a diverse multicultural society?

- Do embodied placemaking practices and processes change if we change the way we think of space (urban, street, building, interiors) and time (momentary, seasonal, historical)?

- What are the methods that can help us study these transient moments and incorporate momentary, performative, and episodic social events into our urban histories?

- How can a study of the urban public domain as constructed and construed by citizens via everyday experiences sustain and encourage the development of positive urban environments?
Embodied Placemaking, Part I: Program
Friday, October 8, 2010
Curtin 175
3243 North Downer Avenue

9 am
Welcomes

Richard Grusin (C21)
Arijit Sen (Architecture, Buildings-Landscapes-Cultures Initiative)

9:15 – 10:45 am
Politics of Place

Swati Chattopadhyay (UCSB): Visualizing the Body Politic
Joseph Sciorra (Queens College): Vernacular Exegesis of the Gentrifying Gaze: Saints, Hipsters, and Public Space in Williamsburg, Brooklyn

Moderator: Arijit Sen (Architecture)

10:45 am – 12:30 pm
Making Jewish Space

Jennifer Cousineau (Parks Canada): Rabbinic Urbanism as an Index to Popular Belief in Late 20th-Century London

Moderator: Lisa Silverman (History)

12:30 – 1:30 pm
Lunch

1:45 – 3:15 pm
Civic Performances

James Rojas (Latino Urban Forum): Interactive Planning: Engaging the Public in Placemaking
Karen E. Till (Virginia Tech): Witnessing and Performing Place: Memory Traces of Displacement in Wounded Cities

Moderator: Ryan Holifield (Geography)

3:30 pm
Plenary Discussion
Speakers, Part I

Swati Chattopadhyay  
University of California, Santa Barbara

Title and Abstract  
Visualizing the Body Politic

This paper is about the materiality of political space. It takes the recent ban on political wall writing in India to ensure “cleaner elections” to question the instituting of a normative urban visuality and the notion of political subjectivity that informs such a vision. Studying the visual culture of anti-colonial insurgency in the early twentieth century, mainly posters and pamphlets, and the eventual popularization of political wall writing in the 1960s, I argue that these ephemera, as instances of embodied making of political space enable us to rethink the relation between materiality, space, and political subjectivity.

Biography  
Swati Chattopadhyay is an architect and architectural historian at the University of California Santa Barbara, specializing in modern architecture and the cultural landscape of British colonialism. She is the author of Representing Calcutta: Modernity, Nationalism, and the Colonial Uncanny (2005), and co-editor of a special issue of PostColonial Studies (Nov 2005) focusing on “the subaltern and the popular.”

Recommended Background Readings  

James Mensch, Embodiments: From the Body to the Body Politic (Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Press, 2009), especially Introduction, Chs. 1 and 11.
Jennifer Cousineau
Historical Research Branch of Parks Canada

Title and Abstract
Rabbinic Urbanism as an Index to Popular Belief in Late 20th-Century London

In earlier research, I developed a theory of Rabbinic urbanism through the prism of the eruv. I primarily analyzed the processes by which rabbinic actors and thinkers theorize and construct urban space and limited my study to controversies surrounding the planning and construction of the eruv.

Scholars of material religion have recently focused closely on material culture (I read the city as a unit of material culture) as a vehicle for popular religious beliefs. Moving away from approaches that interpret religious structures as expressions of the social, racial, economic or political, a material religion approach seeks to interpret ritual objects and their use for what they can tell us about the religious beliefs of ordinary people. Scholars of material religion have thus returned value to the experiences of faith practitioners.

Inspired by this scholarship, and by anthropological models interested in intimate, bodily experiences of place, this paper will expand my earlier work by focusing on the weekly practice of the eruv by the Jews for whom it was constructed. A close examination of the London eruv as an expression of Jewish belief, specifically as regards the Sabbath, can tell us much about what Jews think about their collective and individual relationships to the divine. Given that Jewish law prioritizes human interrelationships, the eruv can also provide insight into the way that late twentieth-century London Jews relate to each other within a distinctly urban environment.

Biography
Jennifer Cousineau is an architectural historian with the Historical Research Branch of Parks Canada. Her most recent article “The Domestication of Urban Jewish Space and the North-West London Eruv” appeared in Jews at Home: The Domestication of Identity, Jewish Cultural Studies, Volume 2 (2010), Simon J. Bronner, ed.
Recommended Background Readings

Charlotte Fonrobert  
Stanford University

Title and Abstract  
Marking Boundaries: The Politics of Mapping Neighborhoods

In the long history of Jewish diasporas, urban Jewish communities have often been clustered in neighborhoods, in spatially marked areas variously referred to as Jewish quarter, Jewish street (Judengasse in Frankfurt, Germany), ghetto, or mellah (in Morocco), to name but a few examples. These urban Jewish spaces of diaspora were variously walled and marked off, regulating accessibility in multiple ways. Historians have mostly considered this phenomenon in terms of confinement, segregation, and exclusion, from “the right to the city” (David Harvey, Don Mitchell). While these dynamics were definitely at play in various historical periods and locals, they should not serve as the only explanatory model to account for Jewish urban dwelling in its historical dimension. At the critical juncture of reinventing themselves as a diasporic people, I argue, Jews devised ritual practices (largely summarized under the umbrella term of eruv) that lent coherence to collective dwelling in towns and cities not their own. In other words, Jewish neighborhood can be read not merely as enforced by others but as a tactic of diaspora, extending de Certeau’s notion of tactic to communal action.

For the symposium on Embodied Placemaking, I focus on one particular aspect of this tactic, which concerns the marking of the neighborhood boundaries in the city, which is one part of the ritualization of the neighborhood. For various reasons, this practice has turned into the most contested in a number of contemporary Jewish attempts to establish the ritual boundaries of Jewish neighborhoods. These controversies have a long history, which I will map briefly. In light of this history the contemporary discussions will be read as contestations over the symbolic meanings of public space, and thereby as ongoing contestations of “the right to the city.”

Biography  
Charlotte Fonrobert is an associate professor of Religious Studies at Stanford University. Her most recent publication is “Introduction: Jewish Conceptions and Practice of Space,” with Vered Shemtov, a special edition of Jewish Social Studies 11 (Spring/Summer 2005) edited by Fonrobert and Shemtov.
Recommended Background Readings


James Rojas
Latino Urban Forum (LUF)

Title and Abstract
Interactive Planning: Engaging the Public in Placemaking

Since 2007 I have facilitated over sixty interactive planning workshops that engage the public in placemaking opportunities. These opportunities range from planning for light-rail stations to designing new recreational facilities. Participants and stakeholders include non-profits, schools, public agencies and arts organizations.

Interactive planning simplifies the planning process and helps participants translate conceptual planning ideas into physical forms. It is a method that taps into the public’s creative thinking by allowing them use their hands to build small models of urban environments. These physical and visual tools help the public articulate ideas and needs regarding the neighborhoods and cities they live in.

There are two means by which this interactive planning approach can inform and educate constituents:

1. On-site models. We set up a model in a park, gallery, train stations, or on the sidewalk. These spaces become impromptu public urban forums where everyone including children and non-native English speakers can participate by reacting to and adding to the models. For many participants, this is the first time they have had the opportunity to think critically about the built environment in such a dynamic way. The vivid use of materials, colors, textures and details attracts their eyes and draws them in.

2. Interactive workshops. These are one-hour-plus community workshops that are designed for people who have little time to participate in the planning process and by necessity must bring their children along. These workshops increase the public’s design fluency by allowing them to use their hands and minds to, in 20 minutes, create three-dimensional solutions from a variety of materials. The creation of small models allows participants of any age or linguistic background to articulate their needs and desires publicly. Since there are no right or wrong answers, social barriers are broken down, thereby creating a friendly exchange of ideas. Participants leave the exercises feeling a sense of accomplishment and with a better understanding of the planning process.

Interactive planning mimics the dynamic and collective nature of urban life. The process is similar to how various groups of players—strangers, neighbors, friends—interact to create a sense of place in cities. This tool can be used by communities as an opportunity for them to reflect on their values
and needs vis a vis planning. At the same time, policymakers, urban planners, and architects can use this tool for information-gathering.

**Biography**

James Rojas is an urban planner, community activist, and artist. One of the few nationally recognized urban planners to examine U.S. Latino cultural influences on urban planning and design, he holds a Master of City Planning and a Master of Science of Architecture Studies from MIT. His influential thesis on the Latino built environment has been widely cited. Growing out of his research, Rojas founded the Latino Urban Forum (LUF), a volunteer advocacy group, dedicated to understanding and improving the built environment of Los Angeles’ Latino communities.

List of art installations:

List of interactive workshops:
http://www.uwm.edu/c21/pdfs/conferences/2010_embodiedplacemaking/Rojas_Workshops.pdf

**Recommended Background Readings**

http://www.uwm.edu/c21/pdfs/conferences/2010_embodiedplacemaking/Rojas_NoHo.pdf


Alissa Walker, “Putting Urban Planning In the Hands of the People,” *Good Magazine Blog* (www.good.is), August 14, 2009,
http://www.good.is/post/putting-urban-planning-in-the-hands-of-the-people/
Joseph Sciorra
Queens College, CUNY

Title and Abstract
Vernacular Exegesis of the Gentrifying Gaze: Saints, Hipsters, and Public Space in Williamsburg, Brooklyn

Super-gentrification has transformed the physical, economic, and cultural landscapes of the once multi-ethnic, working-class neighborhood of Williamsburg, Brooklyn. The bohemian culture of “hipsters” has shifted power relationships concerning public life and local identity. This is most evident in the staging of religious processions by Italian-American Catholics who have sponsored such neighborhood peripatetic performances since the 1880s. The paper explores the religious dynamics of global change on locality by highlighting vernacular interpretation and knowledge.

Biography

Recommended Background Readings


Karen E. Till
Virginia Tech University, School of Public and International Affairs

Title and Abstract
Witnessing and Performing Place: Memory Traces of Displacement in Wounded Cities

Through everyday routines and social interactions, our selves and intimate relationships to place are continuously performed, recognized, and reinvented. However, in cities marked constitutively by acts of violence and injustice, what happens to such unspoken stories and place-based ways of knowing in the context of displacement? When families and communities living in so-called “blighted” neighborhoods are systematically removed, for example, how might the memory traces of displacement be represented and respected in a way that is recognizable to those who were, and in some instances continue to be, excluded from what Henri Lefebvre calls the “right to the city”? In this paper, I discuss the creative practices of Colombian-based artistic collaborative Mapa Teatro who invite residents to communicate the stories of once-inhabited places, as well as the attachments individuals have to these places. I examine artistic practices that treat ground as inhabited space rather than property, and place as a threshold through which the living can make contact with those who have gone before. Through embodied creative processes, residents and visitors, even if only momentarily, become witnesses to, rather than spectators of, practices of memory and placemaking.

Biography
Karen E. Till, an associate professor of Urban Affairs and Planning, School of Public and International Affairs, Virginia Tech, is the author of The New Berlin: Memory, Politics, Place (2005). Till’s current book in progress, “Interim Spaces: Memory-Work, Place and Artistic Practice in the City,” focuses on cities in which urban and settlement clearances have produced spaces steeped in oppression.

Recommended Background Readings


Embodied Placemaking, Part II: Program
Friday, April 29, 2011
Curtin 175
3243 North Downer Avenue

9 am
Welcome

9:15 – 10:45 am
Mapping Space and Story in Urban Environments
Speakers: Rachel Breunlin (U of New Orleans) and Elizabeth Currid (USC)
Moderator: tbd

11:00 am – 12:30 pm
Engaging Visual Culture in the Public Sphere
Speakers: Jennifer Geigel Mikulay (IUPUI) and Janet Zweig (Brooklyn, NY)
Moderator: Kate Kramer

12:30 – 1:30 pm
Lunch

1:45 – 3:30 pm
Theorizing Space and Subjectivities Transnationally
Speakers: Emanuela Guano (Georgia State U) and Carl Nightingale (SUNY-Buffalo)
Moderator: Merry Wiesner-Hanks

3:45 pm
Plenary
Speakers, Part II

Rachel Breunlin
University of New Orleans

Title and Abstract
[forthcoming]

Biography
Rachel Breunlin, an assistant professor of Anthropology (U of New Orleans), is co-director of The Neighborhood Story Project, a documentary book-making project in New Orleans, where she works with residents in turning their interviews with the Story Project team into stories. She is the author of Cornerstones: Celebrating the Everyday Monuments & Gathering Places of New Orleans (2009).

Recommended Background Readings
[forthcoming]
Elizabeth Currid
University of Southern California

Title and Abstract
[forthcoming]

Biography
Elizabeth Currid is assistant professor in the School of Policy, Planning & Development at USC. She is the author of The Warhol Economy: How Fashion, Art, and Music Drive New York City (2007) and co-author of the study “The Geography of Buzz,” with Sarah Williams (director, Spatial Information Design Lab, Graduate School of Architecture, Planning & Preservation at Columbia University).

Recommended Background Readings
[forthcoming]
Emanuela Guano
Georgia State University

Title and Abstract
Impurity and Danger: Middle-Class Bodies and the Urban Experience in a Mediterranean City

[abstract forthcoming]

Biography
Emanuela Guano is associate professor of Anthropology at Georgia State University. Her research interests range from the study of ideology and the built environment to the analysis of spatial practice and discourse, and from the critique of citizenship and the public sphere to the exploration of how gendered subjectivities are crafted in the public realm. Her current ethnographic project focuses on the politics of gender, class, and heritage in Genoa, Italy.

Recommended Background Readings
[forthcoming]
Jennifer Geigel Mikulay
Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI)

Title and Abstract
[forthcoming]

Biography
Jennifer Geigel Mikulay is assistant professor of Museum Studies and Fine Arts at Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI). Areas of specialization include public art, visual culture, new media, communications, and public sphere theory. As a public scholar and as a participant in IUPUI’s public scholars of civic engagement initiative, she collaborates with cultural organizations to produce new research and involves students in community-based learning.

Recommended Background Readings
[forthcoming]
Carl Nightingale
State University of New York, Buffalo

Title and Abstract
[forthcoming]

Biography
Carl Nightingale, an associate professor of American Studies at SUNY-Buffalo, will present “Cross-Oceanic Connections between the Racial Politics of Place-Making in Johannesburg and Chicago.” Urban racial segregation in global perspective, as well as race, race theory and racial justice, are among Nightingale’s areas of specialization. His current book project “Segregation is Everywhere: A World History of Urban Color Lines” is under contract at University of Chicago Press.

Recommended Background Readings
[forthcoming]
Janet Zweig
Artist, Brooklyn, New York

Title and Abstract
[forthcoming]

Biography
Janet Zweig is an artist who lives in Brooklyn, NY. She is currently working on several public art commissions around the United States—including the streetscape, *Pedestrian Drama*, in Milwaukee—and has installed public works in New York, Seattle, Minneapolis, Santa Fe, Pittsburgh, and St. Louis. Her sculpture and books have been exhibited widely in such places as the Brooklyn Museum of Art, Exit Art, PS1 Museum, the Walker Art Center, and Cooper Union. She has won numerous awards including the Rome Prize Fellowship, NEA fellowships, and residencies at PS1 Museum and the MacDowell Colony. She teaches at the Rhode Island School of Design and at Brown University.

Recommended Background Readings
[forthcoming]
Embodied Placemaking: An Extended Definition

The roots of our use of the term *embodied placemaking* lie in Heidegger’s foregrounding of the dialectical, constitutive relationship between people and their physical environment in his notion of *Dasein* (being-in-the-world). The term suggests that the world around us cannot exist independently of the people who inhabit it. It is only through our consciousness, actions, and interactions that the physical landscape is brought into being.

In describing this physical landscape, scholars of the built environment carefully distinguish between their use of the terms *space* and *place*, though their interpretations can differ. One common view defines space as a boundless, empty, three-dimensional abstraction within which a set of interrelated events or objects exist. Others, however, stress the socially constructed nature of “space,” thus drawing attention to the material qualities that delimit its bounds. Space may exist in the abstract, but as a social construction it necessarily entails divisions, borders, and boundaries. For seminal thinkers such as French theorist Henri Lefebvre, social orders are so crucial to the construction of spaces that according to his definition, the material, political and ideological conditions of those who produce space are its most important constitutive elements.

The term *place* always refers to a physical location, though its existence can be either real or imagined. Unlike the abstract *space*, *place* denotes a material world limited by real and socially constructed boundaries. But, as Heidegger’s dialectical relationship reminds us, a physical environment cannot exist without human inhabitants. The necessary components of *places* are the people who engage the socially constructed boundaries that define their worlds within its geographical ones.

Central to the discussion of placemaking has been the contested nature of its authorship—who produces this meaningful world? Anthropologist Setha Low and historian Dolores Hayden translate the complex relationship between space and its production from Lefebvre. Low argues that social practices and social relations are often located physically, historically and conceptually in actual material sites, or places. The making of such places (*placemaking*) involves two complementary processes, social production and social construction. The former refers to a materialist concept that emphasizes “all those factors—social, economic, ideological, and technological—that result, or seek to result, in the physical creation of the material setting” (Low, 127-128).

The term *social construction*, however, has more symbolic references. The term refers to “the actual transformation of space—through people’s social exchanges, memories, images, daily use of the material setting—into scenes and actions that convey meaning” (Low, 128). Both these social processes, despite their material outcomes, are political in nature and fraught with ideological, economic, and symbolic conflicts. As many recent geographers (Don Mitchell, Richard Schien, Nancy and James Duncan, Gillian Rose, Liz Bondi, Kay Anderson, Doreen Massey, and Gill
Valentine to name a few) have shown, placemaking can be an intensely contested phenomenon. Hence the question, “whose place?” and “who makes place” are key unresolved questions within the scholarship of placemaking.

Architects, landscape architects and urban planners—“professional placemakers” according to Lynda H. Schneekloth and Robert G. Shibley (2)—started to use the word placemaking during the 1970s. Its phenomenological origins can be traced to the writings of Christian Norberg Schultz and also to work by geographers such as Yi Fu Tuan. These scholars refer to the human experience of place as discussed by Heidegger but concentrate on the experiential and symbolic aspects of the term. The term placemaking used in this context focuses on the engagement of the human body with the material world (physiological) and the individual’s investment of meaning, identity and sensory experiences (psychological). “One could argue that such a reading de-emphasizes the politics of placemaking, rendering the insidious workings of social inequities and power invisible.”

Embodied placemaking carries multiple references. On the one hand, to embody something is to express, personify and give concrete and perceptible form to something that may be an abstraction. This act of making an abstract idea corporeal and incarnate occurs when we read place as a material product of human imagination and experience. Embodiment in the context of placemaking allows us to identify human agency in the social production and construction of place. And yet, as we mentioned above, place is not a neutral site into which human beings enter. The experience of place remakes human subjects.

On the other hand, to embody also suggests an act of becoming a part of a body. This act of incorporation allows us to see the powerful ideological role played by place in the formation of human subjects. By that, we mean that the experience of place can in turn influence our identity, sense of self and community, and locate us vis-à-vis larger social contexts.

Embodied placemaking, we suggest, is the primary way by which societies and social systems reproduce themselves. While it is true that placemaking and experience of place is always embodied, by juxtaposing the two words, we clearly signal a certain epistemological position. Our intention is to explore the notion of “authorship” in the production of place. The question “who makes place” is central to the way we understand placemaking and read places. For instance, architectural histories cite historic buildings and places as the product of patrons and communities who built them. In these official narratives the place and its makers are noted. However, polysemic stories of inhabitants who lived and used these places are erased. Also missing in canonical discourses are fleetingly enacted occasions that, despite being short-lived, produce permanent memories among individuals. These memories and events are individual spatial experiences that have very powerful resonance in the way we understand places. (Connerton, 72)

Embodied placemaking also refers to the role of the individual, often the subaltern, in the production of place. Individuals are constrained by the powerful social, cultural, and economic circumstances of the societies in which they live. We tend to downplay the experience of individuals as we study larger aggregates, viz. cultural practices. The ability to read and engage the physical
worlds via individual embodied experiences alerts us to emancipatory possibilities. It helps us understand what de Certeau calls “tactics,” everyday forms of engagement that empower individuals to resist, counter, circumvent and transform the world around them. Embodied placemaking becomes, using James C. Scott’s term, a weapon of the weak, or suggests possibilities of radical citizenship and urbanism as suggested by Lefebvre in his article, “The Right to the City.”

Works Cited . . . and Suggestions for Further Reading
The following lists some books and articles, some of which were referenced above, for further reading on the topic of “embodied placemaking.” We have provided links to PDFs of articles and book excerpts where those were available.


http://www.uwm.edu/c21/pdfs/conferences/2010_embodiedplacemaking/Low_Spatializing.pdf
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