

Center for By-Products Utilization

FLOWABLE SLURRY MADE WITH CLASS C FLY ASH AND PAPER INDUSTRY FIBROUS RESIDUALS

**By Tarun R. Naik, Yoon-moon Chun, and Rudolph N.
Kraus**

Report No. CBU-2005-24
REP-595
Janury 2007

For Presentation and Publication at the Ninth CANMET/ACI International Conference on Fly Ash, Silica Fume, Slag, and Natural Pozzolans in Concrete, Poland, May - June 2007.

**Department of Civil Engineering and Mechanics
College of Engineering and Applied Science
THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE**

Flowable Slurry Made With Class C Fly Ash and Paper Industry Fibrous Residuals

by Tarun R. Naik, Yoon-moon Chun, and Rudolph N. Kraus

Synopsis: This research was conducted to develop flowable slurry containing fibrous residuals from pulp and paper mills. Two types of flowable slurry containing ASTM Class C fly ash were produced: fly ash slurry and sandy slurry. Often, the fly ash slurry made without fibrous residuals showed a tendency to set rapidly, and become unworkable. When the cement-to-fly ash ratio was increased to extend the time of setting, the long-term strength of the hardened slurry often became too high (unexcavatable). The problem of excessively high long-term strength was also sometimes observed in the case of sandy slurry made without fibrous residuals. In comparison, by using the fibrous residuals (and without using a chemical retarder): (a) fly ash slurry maintained workability (no rapid setting) and desirably low long-term strength, allowing for excavation; and (b) sandy slurry maintained low long-term strength. Thus, this research showed that the fibrous residuals from pulp and paper mills are useful in controlling the setting behavior and long-term strength of flowable slurry containing fly ash.

Keywords: cellulose fibers; excavatability; microfibers; pulp and paper mills; recycling; sludge; paper industry wastewater-treatment residuals; wood fibers.

Tarun R. Naik, FACI, is a Professor of Structural Engineering and Academic Program Director of the UWM Center for By-Products Utilization (UWM-CBU) at the University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee. He is a member of ACI Committees 123, Research; 229, Controlled Low-Strength Materials (CLSM); 232, Fly Ash and Natural Pozzolans in Concrete; and 555, Concrete with Recycled Materials. He is also a member of ACI Board Advisory Committee on Sustainable Development. He was also Chairman of the ASCE Technical Committee on Emerging Materials (1995-2000).

Yoon-moon Chun is a postdoctoral fellow at the UWM-CBU. His research interests include the use of coal fly ash, coal bottom ash, and used foundry sand in concrete and masonry units, the use of fibrous residuals from pulp and paper mills in concrete; autogenous shrinkage of concrete; and self-consolidating concrete.

ACI member Rudolph N. Kraus is Assistant Director of the UWM-CBU. He has directed numerous projects on the use of fly ash, bottom ash, used foundry sand, and wood ash in concrete, CLSM, and masonry units. Mr. Kraus has also directed research on self-consolidating concrete and the use of fibrous residuals from the pulp and paper industry in concrete.

INTRODUCTION

This research was conducted to develop flowable slurry containing fibrous residuals from the pulp and paper industry.

Fibrous residuals from pulp and paper mills

Fibrous residuals from pulp and paper mills include wastewater-treatment residuals (also called sludge), fiber reclaim, and screening rejects. The basic components of the wastewater-treatment residuals are wood cellulose fibers and moisture. Wastewater-treatment residuals from a number of mills also contain papermaking fillers (kaolinitic clay, calcium carbonate, and/or titanium dioxide) and the biomass from biological treatment of wastewater. Fiber reclaim is composed of wood cellulose fibers and moisture. Screening rejects are made up of moisture and the shives (coarse bundles of wood fibers) and wood pieces that are unsuitable for pulp and paper manufacturing.

In 1995, the U.S. pulp and paper industry generated about 5.3 million tonnes (on an oven-dry basis) of mill wastewater-treatment residuals, which is equivalent to about 15 million tonnes of dewatered moist residuals [NCASI 1999]. About half of this was disposed in landfills/lagoons, 1/4 was burned (typically no energy benefit), 1/8 was applied on farmland/forest, 1/16 was reused/recycled in mills, and the final 1/16 was used in other ways. Due to the increasing cost of landfilling, increasingly stringent environmental regulations, and potential long-term environmental liabilities, the percentage of the residuals disposed in landfills has decreased considerably over the past several decades (from 86% in 1979 to 70% in 1988, and to 51% in 1995) [NCASI 1992,

Unwin 2000, NCASI 1999]. A significant amount of residuals, however, still needs to be diverted from landfilling.

Controlled low-strength materials

Controlled low-strength material (CLSM) is a cementitious material that is in a flowable state at the time of placement and has a specified compressive strength of 8.3 MPa (1200 psi) or less [ACI 1999]. CLSM is also called flowable fill or flowable slurry. CLSM is used primarily for non-structural and light-structural applications such as backfills, conduit bedding, erosion control, void filling, heat-insulation fills, sound-insulating fills, and pavement bases. CLSM is mainly used as a self-leveling/self-consolidating slurry material for backfilling of trenches, bridge abutments, and other cavities. It is generally required to have a low long-term strength to allow for future excavation. CLSM with relatively high strength can be used in applications where future excavation is unlikely, such as structural fill under buildings. In deciding mixture proportions of CLSM, factors such as flowability, strength, and excavatability are evaluated. For many uses, permeability is also an important property of CLSM. Permeability of CLSM depends on properties of constituent materials, mixture proportions including water-cementitious materials ratio (W/Cm), and age. Conventionally, there are two types of CLSM: (1) fly ash CLSM made with water, very little cement, and a lot of fly ash; and (2) sandy CLSM made with water, a lot of sand, very little cement, and some fly ash.

Use of the fibrous residuals in cement-based materials

Recent research projects have shown that fibrous residuals from pulp and paper mills improve the durability of concrete [Naik et al. 2005]. The fibrous residuals increased the resistance of non-air-entrained concrete to freezing-and-thawing and to salt scaling.

The use of the fibrous residuals in cement-based materials could become an economical alternative to landfilling, burning, or other management options. This research was conducted to develop mixture proportions of CLSM containing the fibrous residuals from pulp and paper mills and to evaluate the technical benefits of using the fibrous residuals in CLSM.

MATERIALS

Cement, sand, and fly Ash

ASTM Type I portland cement and natural sand were used. The chemical composition of the cement was 20.2% SiO₂, 4.5% Al₂O₃, 2.6% Fe₂O₃, 64.2% CaO, 2.5% MgO, 2.4% SO₃, 0.53% total alkalies as Na₂O, 1.4% loss on ignition, 0.4% insoluble residue, and 1.5% free lime. ASTM Class C fly ash was obtained from Green Bay, Wisconsin, USA. The strength activity index of fly ash (measured by testing mortar containing fly ash as a replacement of 20% of cement) was 98% and 99% of Control (0% fly ash) at 7 and 28 days, respectively. Water requirement of the mortar containing fly

ash was 91% of Control. Density of fly ash was 2.86 g/cm³. The oxides composition of fly ash was 39.1% SiO₂, 18.6% Al₂O₃, 5.6% Fe₂O₃, 21.3% CaO, 5.0% MgO, 1.6% SO₃, 1.7% Na₂O, and 0.8% K₂O.

Fibrous residuals

Fibrous residuals were obtained from one source: C1, wastewater-treatment residual from pulp and paper mills in Stevens Point, Wisconsin.

Since Residual C1 was known to mildew and decompose in a warm and humid environment, it was stored in a walk-in refrigerator maintained at approximately 4°C.

Table 1 presents the type and description of the fibrous residuals. Table 2 presents the properties of the fibrous residuals.

MIXTURE PROPORTIONS, TEST RESULTS, AND DISCUSSION

Mixture proportions

The fibrous residuals were used as-received and not “repulped.” Mixture proportions were established through preliminary mixing and testing of ash and sandy flowable slurry mixtures containing various amounts of cement, fly ash, water, and fibrous residuals. Mixture proportions and fresh properties of final ash and sandy slurry mixtures are shown in Table 3 and Table 4.

The ash slurry mixtures containing fibrous residuals were made with lower amounts of cementitious materials (cement plus fly ash) than the ash slurry mixtures FA-Ref and FA-Ref-2 containing no fibrous residuals (Table 3). This was mainly because fibrous residuals are bulky in comparison with cement and fly ash. The water-cementitious materials ratio (W/Cm) of Mixtures FA-Ref and FA-Ref-2 was lower than the ash slurry mixtures containing fibrous residuals. In addition, the cement-cementitious materials ratio (C/Cm) of Mixtures FA-Ref and FA-Ref-2 was higher than the ash slurry mixtures containing fibrous residuals. This was done in an effort to avoid rapid setting of the ash slurry made with Class C fly ash and without fibrous residuals.

The fly ash slurry mixtures FA-Ref and FA-Ref-2 containing no fibrous residuals were very cohesive in the mixer-drum and scoops, and not easy to handle. On the other hand, the fly ash slurries containing fibrous residuals were easy to produce and handle. Mixtures FA-Ref and FA-Ref-2 showed generally higher flow than the ash slurry mixtures containing fibrous residuals.

In spite of the relatively high C/Cm, the ash slurry mixture FA-Ref set and hardened soon after specimens were cast, in about 30 minutes after mixing started, due to a high amount of Class C fly ash. The ash slurry mixture FA-Ref-2 was made with a higher C/Cm to avoid the rapid setting and hardening. In comparison, the fly ash slurry mixtures containing fibrous residuals remained workable while specimens were being cast.

Sandy slurry mixtures Sd-Ref and Sd-Ref-2 were produced with relatively low amounts of cementitious materials to limit their long-term compressive strength (Table 4). The sandy slurry mixtures containing fibrous residuals were produced with twice as much cementitious materials to avoid excessive delay in setting; a high long-term strength was not a concern. It was easy to produce and handle sandy slurry mixtures, regardless of whether fibrous residuals were used or not.

Ball-drop diameter

To be suitable for load application, the ball-drop diameter on CLSM (ASTM D 6024) should be 75 mm (3 in.) or less [ACI 1999]. The ball-drop diameter on the specimens of Mixture FA-C1 reached about 80 mm in about one day (Fig. 1). When new CLSM Mixture FA-C1-2 were made by reducing the W/Cm from about 0.6 to 0.5, the time to reach a ball-drop diameter of approximately 75 mm shortened to about 1.5 hours.

Mixture Sd-Ref remained soft for over a week (Fig. 2) due to its relatively high W/Cm and low C/Cm. Mixture Sd-Ref-2 was produced with a lower W/Cm and higher C/Cm than Mixture Sd-Ref. Mixtures Sd-Ref-2 and Sd-C1 set and became firm within two days.

Compressive strength

Unconfined compressive strength of CLSM (ASTM D 4832) should be 0.35 to 0.7 MPa (50 to 100 psi) for backfills to allow for manual excavation, 2.1 MPa (300 psi) or less to allow for excavation by using a backhoe, and 2.8 to 8.3 MPa (400 to 1200 psi) for pavement bases [ACI 1999].

The molds for specimens used for compressive strength tests were 100 × 200 mm cylindrical molds. The specimens were stored in cylindrical molds with their tops exposed, in a moist-curing room. On the day of testing, the test specimens were removed from the molds. Then the specimens were dried in the laboratory air for about four hours before they were tested.

Table 5 presents the test results for compressive strength of fly ash slurry mixtures. The fly ash slurry mixtures containing fibrous residuals (Mixtures FA-C1 and FA-C1-2) maintained controlled low long-term strength (0.14 MPa and 0.91 MPa at 91 days). On the other hand, the ash slurry mixtures FA-Ref and FA-Ref-2 containing no fibrous residuals showed excessively high strength (approximately 9 MPa at 91 days and 9 to 14 MPa at 182 days), due to their relatively low W/cm and high C/Cm.

Table 6 presents the test results for compressive strength of sandy slurry mixtures. Note that at 3 and 7 days, the strength of the sandy mixtures Sd-Ref and Sd-Ref-2 containing no fibrous residuals was very low (soft), lower than that of the sandy mixture Sd-C1 containing fibrous residuals. The sandy slurry mixtures containing no fibrous residuals later gained strength at a noticeably higher rate than the sandy mixture containing fibrous residuals. The long-term strength of the sandy mixtures containing no

fibrous residuals was low enough (1.26 and 1.63 MPa at 182 days) to allow for excavation by using a backhoe (between 0.7 and 2.1 MPa). Mixture Sd-C1 showed low strength from early age (0.13 MPa at 3 days) to later age (0.31 MPa at 182 days), allowing for manual excavation.

Thus, fibrous residuals improved the early-age strength of flowable slurry mixtures and helped to keep the long-term strength at a desirably low level.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the data presented, the following general conclusions can be drawn:

1. Fibrous residuals kept fly ash slurry from becoming too cohesive, thus making it easier (less time consuming) to thoroughly mix the slurry-making ingredients and handle fresh slurry.
2. Fibrous residuals prevented rapid setting of the ash slurry mixtures made with Class C fly ash and kept the fresh ash slurry mixtures remain workable while they were being placed.
3. Fibrous residuals helped the fly ash and sandy slurry mixtures to become firm at an early age and maintain a low long-term strength, allowing for future excavation.
4. Fibrous residuals were helpful in reducing the hydraulic conductivity of sandy slurry.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their gratitude for the project funding provided by the UWS – Solid Waste Research Program.

The UWM Center for By-Products Utilization was established in 1988 with a generous grant from the Dairyland Power Cooperative, La Crosse, WI; Madison Gas and Electric Company, Madison, WI; National Minerals Corporation, St. Paul, MN; Northern States Power Company, Eau Claire, WI; We Energies, Milwaukee, WI; Wisconsin Power and Light Company, Madison, WI; and, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, Green Bay, WI. Their financial support and additional grant and support from Manitowoc Public Utilities, Manitowoc, WI, are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- ACI 1999. *Controlled low-strength materials (CLSM)*. ACI 229R-99. Farmington Hills, MI, USA: American Concrete Institute (ACI).
- Naik, T.R., Chun, Y.-m., & Kraus, R.N. 2005. Use of fibrous paper mill residuals in concrete: Enhanced resistance to freezing and thawing, *Concrete International* 27(10): 64-67.

- NCASI 1992. *Solid waste management and disposal practices in the U.S. paper industry*. Technical Bulletin No. 641. New York, NY, USA: National Council for Air and Stream Improvement (NCASI).
- NCASI 1999. *Solid waste management practices in the U.S. paper industry - 1995*. Technical bulletin No. 793. Research Triangle Park, NC, USA: National Council of the Paper Industry for Air and Stream Improvement (NCASI).
- Unwin, J. 2000. Why bury it when you can use it?: NCASI's support of the industry's efforts to find beneficial uses for solid wastes, In, *Proc. 2000 NCASI national meeting: 57-74*. Research Triangle Park, NC, USA: National Council for Air and Stream Improvement (NCASI).

Table 1—Type and Description of Fibrous Residuals

Fibrous residual	Type	Fiber source	Source mill type	Appearance
C1	Waste-water treatment residual	Virgin	Pulp and paper	Light gray, and clayey

Table 2—Properties of Fibrous Residuals

Fibrous residual	Moisture content (%)	Apparent specific gravity*	Average length of fibers†, L_L (mm)	Average width of fibers (mm)
C1	141	1.75	0.61	0.021

* Specific gravity tests were performed on as-received moist samples of fibrous residuals.

† Length-weighted average length, $L_L = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N n_i l_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N n_i l_i}$.

Note: The length and width of the wood fibers in the fibrous residuals were determined using the Techpap MorFi LB01 system.

Table 3—Mixture Proportions and Fresh Properties of Fly Ash Flowable Slurry

Mixture designation	FA-Ref	FA-Ref-2	FA-C1	FA-C1-2
Fibrous residual	(None)	(None)	C1	C1
Cement (kg/m ³), C	75	179	21	29
Class C fly ash (kg/m ³), FA	1213	1612	692	923
Cementitious materials* (kg/m ³), Cm	1288	1791	713	952
Sand, SSD (kg/m ³), Sd	0	0	0	0
Fibrous residual (kg/m ³), R	0	0	285	190
Water (kg/m ³), W	496	645	445	444
C/Cm	0.06	0.10	0.03	0.03
FA/Cm	0.94	0.90	0.97	0.97
R/Cm	0	0	0.40	0.20
Sd/Cm	0	0	0	0
W/Cm	0.39	0.36	0.62	0.47
R/Slurry	0	0	0.20	0.12
Flow, 75 × 150 mm cylinder (mm)	335	415	235	275
Air content (%)	0.8	1.2	3.0	1.8
Air temperature (°C)	20	20	20	20
Slurry temperature (°C)	29	23	20	22
Density (kg/m ³)	1780	2440	1440	1590

* Cement plus Class C fly ash.

Table 4—Mixture Proportions and Fresh Properties of Sandy Flowable Slurry

Mixture designation	Sd-Ref	Sd-Ref-2	Sd-C1
Fibrous residual	(None)	(None)	C1
Cement (kg/m ³), C	16	24	33
Class C fly ash (kg/m ³), FA	184	177	380
Cementitious materials* (kg/m ³), Cm	200	202	413
Sand, SSD (kg/m ³), Sd	1702	1716	1319
Fibrous residual (kg/m ³), R	0	0	82
Water (kg/m ³), W	283	265	268
C/Cm	0.08	0.12	0.08
FA/Cm	0.92	0.88	0.92
R/Cm	0	0	0.20
Sd/Cm	8.5	8.5	3.2
W/Cm	1.41	1.31	0.65
R/Slurry	0	0	0.04
Flow, 75 × 150 mm cylinder (mm)	254	254	267
Air content (%)	0.9	1.6	1.0
Air temperature (°C)	20	20	20
Slurry temperature (°C)	19	20	19
Density (kg/m ³)	2180	2180	2080

* Cement plus Class C fly ash.

Table 5—Compressive Strength of Fly Ash Flowable Slurry (MPa)

Age (days)	FA-Ref	FA-Ref-2	FA-C1	FA-C1-2
3	0.45	0.46	0.10	0.68
7	0.48	0.43	0.10	0.73
28	0.63	0.66	0.12	0.90
56	6.52	8.52	0.13	0.88
91	8.82	8.87	0.14	0.91
182	9.35	13.84	0.55	n. a.

n. a.: Not available.

Table 6—Compressive Strength of Sandy Flowable Slurry (MPa)

Age (days)	Sd-Ref	Sd-Ref-2	Sd-C1
3	0.08	0.08	0.13
7	0.09	0.07	0.14
28	0.47	0.79	0.15
56	1.07	1.22	0.18
91	1.12	1.38	0.18
182	1.26	1.63	0.31




Fig. 1—Ball-drop diameter on fly ash flowable slurry




Fig. 2—Ball-drop diameter on sandy flowable slurry