These minutes represent a summary of the content and character of each meeting and are not meant to be an exhaustive list of the comments made. Also, these notes are an initial attempt to understand the academic issues on campus; we will return to gather additional information as necessary.

ATTENDEES
Mark Harris, Delegate for Vice Chancellor for Research and Dean of the Graduate School
Marylou Gelfer, Chair, Health Disciplines Subcommittee; CHS Faculty representative
David Petering, Member, Health Disciplines Subcommittee; L&S Faculty Representative
Michael Fenderich, Member, Health Disciplines Subcommittee; HBSSW Faculty Representative
Philip Parsons, Principal - Sasaki
Jim Vander Heiden, AIA, PE, Vice President and Principal
Lora Strigens, LEED AP, Associate
Patricia Arredondo, Associate Vice Chancellor, Academic Affairs
Jon Jenson, Project Manager, State of Wisconsin Department of Administration
Chris Gluesing, Assistant Director, University Architects/Planning & Transportation

SUMMARY

Health Discipline Sub-Committee (see handout)

- Health related private partnerships – could look to community for more support
- Many people feel that outcome of master plan has already been determined
- Rationale for current focus on biomedical engineering is unclear; needs clarification.
- The academic plan needs an external reality check – should be anchored in financial planning.
- Created a draft study of collaboration "themes"
Currently determining relationships that exist, strength of relationships, etc.

- It was noted that the Sasaki model takes place at college level, not departmental levels. SA is creating a spreadsheet that UWM will be asked to fill in. The model will assist in reinventing the University and will serve as a tool for decision making.
- Data will need to be transparent to help foster consensus.
- There was not a consensus on what was meant by academic planning. All departments and centers contributed to process, but how the data will be evaluated and used are unclear.
- Many themes have affinities with partners that aren’t located at UWM such as the Milwaukee Center for Independence (MCFI), and the Center for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing (CDHH). The University also works with two different health networks.
- UWM concerned about needs, timing and outcomes of the “Planning Matrix”, etc. Sasaki noted that the matrix needs would be made available to the central planning group during the week of May 6.
- Growing market due to aging population
- Engineering & Basic Sciences – collaborations w/MCW and the Health Sciences – downtown location seems better since MCW is not perceived to be less accessible. However MCW is better for some types of research.
- Downtown could become an academic health center. Clinical populations need access to public transportation. Researchers and student clinicians need to be close to client base.
- CSD, OT and PT, among other academic units, are involved in clinical research – they have potential for research and satellite clinical locations.
- We need to be where students are placed – convenience and access
- Would choose to be close to client base
- Students in professional education are critical to research
- University model is shifting from undergraduate education to research focus. Graduate growth would be the most appropriate focus of growth. Specialized programs to draw students from other areas will be important given the declining local demographics
- There is a market for local health professionals that need to "ramp-up" their careers.
- Need a better understanding for what this means for faculty lines, PhDs and grads.
- Goal should be to find ways to minimize undergraduate travel
- The North Central Area 2005 Report is available on the Master Plan website

Next Steps

1. Document meeting minutes
2. Return for field work, data collection, and additional meetings May 20-22.
3. Perform data and site analysis throughout the summer.
4. Present initial analysis findings in the fall.

The information above will stand as recorded unless Sasaki receives written comments within five days of the distribution date from a recipient requesting an amendment.