Revisions for procedures for elections and chair appointments in the case where a dean declines a faculty preference ballot.

Old Language:

4.06(5)(c) Consultation between the dean and the departmental faculty shall occur where the dean exercises discretion to decline the preference recommendations of the faculty members of the department.

4.06(5)(d) Consultation between the dean and both the departmental faculty and the University Committee shall occur when the dean contemplates appointment of an individual who is not a member of the faculty of the department.

Motion:

1. Delete 4.06(5)(c).

2. Revise and renumber 4.06(5)(d) to 4.06(5)(c).

3. Revised language for 4.06(5)(c):

4.06(5)(c) Consultation between the dean and both the departmental faculty and the University Committee shall occur when the dean contemplates appointment of an individual

(1) who is not the individual who received a plurality vote for chair; or

(2) who is not a member of the faculty of the department.

Rationale: The language clarifies the need for a dean to consult with a department if the dean 'contemplates' appointing an individual who was not supported by a plurality of the faculty. The language also requires the dean to consult with the University Committee in such cases. Previous language required the dean to consult with the University Committee if the faculty member appointed as chair came from a different department. The language would require that in the case of a dean declining a faculty preference vote for chair, another faculty body be consulted in the decision. This change would not affect the appointment power of the deans as specified in 4.06(5)(a), "The dean shall exercise the authority to appoint a chairperson from among the members of the department holding professorial rank provided: ..."
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Satisfies the Codification Committee's criteria for clarity, internal consistency, and relationship to other policies and procedures governing UWM.