Proposed Modifications to the UWM Discriminatory Conduct Policy (Including Sexual Harassment) – Selected Academic & Administrative Policy #S-47

The University Committee moves adoption of all elements of the proposed attached campus policy that pertain to faculty.

Rationale

During this past year, a working group including representation from the University Committee, Academic Staff Committee, Student Association, and Office of Equity/Diversity Services met to update the existing policy. The official Selected Academic & Administrative Policy S-47 had become difficult to use which occasioned a request to the University Committee from the Provost’s Office in 2002 to substitute a clarified “pilot” version; that version deleted the section on “consensual relationships” and severely curtailed critical information pertaining to the role of academic freedom in teaching sensitive topics in the instructional setting, some of which could be viewed as harassing and/or discriminatory. To that end, the working group used the official policy and elements of the “pilot” policy to craft the attached policy statement. The official policy is available for review at: http://www.uwm.edu/Dept/uc/briefings/S-47-May98.pdf

A brief summary of clarification/enhancements include:

1. Re-insertion of a statement on academic freedom and related AAUP statements adopted by the UWM Faculty.
2. An expanded list of “protected statuses.”
3. Re-insertion of wording on consensual relations and reporting of same.
4. Wording on filing “third party” complaints of discrimination.
5. Clarification of filing complaint procedures.
6. The reporting of findings and complainant responses by the Director of EDS (Equity Diversity Services) to the Chancellor and Provost, with copies to the UC, for faculty.
7. An expectation, per UC Committee Charge, that the Director of EDS work with the University Committee as complaints against faculty evolve; this element is not in the attached policy as it was thought to potentially have a “chilling effect” on those wanting to file a complaint.

UWM University Committee

Sherry Ahrentzen
Scott Emmons
Thomas Holme
Sharon Keigher
Stephen Meyer
Erika Sander
Mark Schwartz, Chair
DRAFT AS OF 19 APRIL 2005

DISCRIMINATORY CONDUCT (INCLUDING SEXUAL HARASSMENT) & CONSENSUAL RELATIONS POLICY

No: S-47

Date: April 2005

Authority:
Regent Resolution 2384 (amended 4/10/87)
UWM Faculty Document #1605, 1607
UWM Administration

POLICY

UWM is committed to building and maintaining a campus environment that recognizes the inherent worth and dignity of every person, fosters tolerance, sensitivity, understanding, and mutual respect, and encourages the members of its community to strive to reach their full potential. UWM remains steadfastly committed to the principles of academic freedom and to the ideal that the “fearless sifting and winnowing by which alone the truth can be found” is the core feature of an institution of higher education. This steadfast commitment requires an equally strong obligation to foster respect for the dignity and worth of each person. Without this respect, the principles of academic freedom become meaningless. Moreover, relationships such as student-faculty and employee-supervisor have inherent power differences that compromise the ability of some people to protect their own rights. Therefore, the UWM must provide an environment that respects the value of each person and that does not tolerate discriminatory conduct of any kind. For the instructional environment, the American Association of University Professors’ Statements are particularly relevant (see http://www.aaup.org & Public Expression of Opinion). The entire university community must work together to promote an environment free of discrimination. To that end, all administrators, faculty, staff and students are responsible for complying with the policies outlined herein.

UWM does not tolerate discrimination, harassment or retaliation, and has established the procedures listed below for the investigation and remedy of such conduct. These procedures include a voluntary process for facilitating a mutually satisfactory resolution without formal findings.

DEFINITIONS

Discrimination

UWM defines discrimination as conduct that (1) adversely affects any aspect of an individual's employment, education, or participation in activities or programs at UWM; and (2) is based on one or more characteristics of the individual that are protected under federal, state, or local laws. Characteristics that are protected under federal, state, or local law (“protected statuses”) may include:

- age
- ancestry
• arrest or conviction record
• color
• disability
• gender identity/expression¹
• identity as a veteran, disabled veteran, or Vietnam veteran
• marital status
• membership in the national guard, state defense force or any other reserve component of the military forces of the United States or this state
• national origin
• political affiliation
• pregnancy
• race
• religion
• sex
• sexual orientation
• use of lawful products off the premises during non-working hours (e.g. smoking cigarettes)

Harassment

Harassment is a form of prohibited discrimination. UWM defines harassment as conduct that (1) is of any type (oral, written, graphic, or physical); (2) is directed towards or against a person because of the person's protected status (see the list of protected statuses in Section 0 above); and (3) unreasonably interferes with the individual's work, education or participation in activities or programs at UWM or creates a working or learning environment that a reasonable person would find threatening or intimidating.

Speech or expressive behavior (e.g., use of visual, recorded, or written materials) occurring in an instructional setting will not constitute prohibited harassment if it is reasonably appropriate and germane to the subject matter and the instructional setting.

C. Conflict of Interest & Abuse of Power in Consensual Relationships within the University Community

UWM acknowledges its responsibility to provide clear direction to the university community about the professional risks associated with consensual amorous and/or sexual relationships in which a definite power differential between the parties exists. In as much as UWM is committed to fostering the development of learning and work environments characterized by professional and ethical behavior and free of discriminatory behavior, it discourages consensual amorous and/or sexual relationships in which there is a power differential, e.g. between instructor and student or supervisor and employee. UWM recognized that it cannot regulate such personal decisions but views them with concern for two reasons:

1. Abuse of Power: Individuals entering into consensual amorous and/or sexual relationships involving a power differential must recognize that: (i) the reasons for entering such a relationship may be a function of the power differential; (ii) where power differentials exist, even in seemingly consensual relationship, consent shall not be considered a defense if a complaint of sexual harassment or retaliation is brought, and

¹ Gender identity/expression is not presently protected under federal, state, or local laws; however, it is included as a protected status under this policy. Gender identity/expression is defined as having, or being perceived as having, a gender-related identity, appearance, behavior, or expression whether or not that characteristic is stereotypically associated with a person's assigned sex at birth.
(iii) the individual in the relationship with greater power will bear the burden of accountability.

2. Conflict of Interest: Conflicts of interest may arise in connection with consensual amorous and/or sexual relationships between faculty or other instructional staff and students, or between supervisors and subordinates. University policy and more general ethical principles preclude individuals from evaluating the work or academic performance of those with whom they have familial relationships, or from making hiring, salary or similar decisions that have a financial impact on such persons. The same principles apply to consensual amorous and/or sexual relationships and require that appropriate alternate arrangements be made for objective decision-making with regard to the student, subordinate or prospective employee.

3. Reporting Requirements. UWM recognizes that the conflict of interest inherent in a consensual amorous and/or sexual relationship where there is a power differential affects the university’s obligation to provide equal employment and educational opportunity. Therefore, UWM requires:
   a. That the participants in such a relationship act immediately to remove the conflict of interest;
   b. That the person in the more powerful position in such a relationship report it to the dean or division head to ensure that all such conflicts of interest have been adequately addressed and to generate a written acknowledgment that alternate arrangements for the evaluation of the less powerful person in the relationship have been made;
   c. That a record of such reports be maintained by the unit and a copy provided to the Office of Equity/Diversity Services;
   d. That those records be confidential but available as evidence in the processing of possible related sexual harassment complaints in the future.

D. Retaliation

UWM prohibits retaliation against individuals who engage in protected activities under the Policy. Encouraging others to retaliate also violates the Policy.

UWM defines retaliation as employment or academic decisions that are made as a result of an individual’s complaint about conduct prohibited under the Policy or participation in enforcement of the Policy.

Retaliation is action taken because an individual has engaged in protected activities and that negatively impacts any of the following: significant terms or conditions of employment (such as salary, demotion, termination, non-reappointment); educational progress (such as grades, entry into or advancement through an educational program, suspension, expulsion); and, the work/learning environment (such as harassment, undesirable conditions). Protected activities include reporting discrimination or serving as a witness in an investigation under the Policy.

Retaliation Principles:

Protected Activity – The victim must be shown to have filed a complaint, provided statements, assisted, or participated in an investigation under the Policy.
Retaliating Nature – The conduct must be shown to be intimidating, threatening, coercive, or discriminatory.

Causal Connection – The conduct described, above, must be because the victim of retaliation was exercising rights associated with the Policy.

**COMPLAINT PROCEDURE**

**Options for Employees to Report Conduct**

An employee who feels that he or she is the subject of discrimination, harassment, or retaliation (the “complaining party”) that is prohibited by this policy is encouraged to clearly tell the person responsible for the behavior (the “responding party”) to stop.

If a complaining party feels uncomfortable confronting the responding party, or complaining party’s efforts to stop the conduct have been unsuccessful, the complaining party may discuss the conduct with his or her supervisor or department chair. The supervisor or department chair may be able to quickly resolve the matter and should inform the complaining party in writing of the option of consulting with the Office of Equity/Diversity Services (EDS). If a supervisor or department chair learns of an alleged violation of this policy, but is unable to quickly resolve the matter or feels that the matter warrants further investigation prior to taking action, he or she should refer the complaining party to EDS.²

An employee is encouraged to file a complaint with EDS if he or she has confronted the person responsible for the behavior, or has discussed the matter with his or her supervisor or department chair, and the employee feels these steps have not been successful in resolving the matter. At any time, an employee who feels that he or she is the subject of discrimination, harassment, or retaliation may contact EDS to file a complaint.

**Options for Students to Report Conduct**

A student who feels that he or she is the subject of discrimination, harassment, or retaliation prohibited by this policy may discuss the matter with the person responsible for the behavior. If the student wishes to pursue the matter but for any reason feels uncomfortable confronting or does not want to discuss the matter with the person responsible for the behavior, the University strongly encourages the student to contact EDS. If students discuss the matter with the Dean of Student Life, the Dean will refer students to EDS.

**C. Options for Third Party Complaints**

In some instances, employees or students may not be direct victims of alleged discriminatory action or conduct, but may have credible knowledge of such conduct. If such conduct or action is reported to EDS, EDS will evaluate the information to determine whether a full investigation will be undertaken in accordance with this Policy.

**D. Filing a Complaint with EDS**

1. Any individual who feels that he or she is being subjected to discrimination, harassment, or retaliation prohibited by the University’s policies may file a complaint with EDS. The complaint must be in writing, on a form provided by EDS, and must be filed within 300 calendar days of the most recent alleged prohibited act. EDS at its own discretion may

---

² This is the official name for UWM’s office for enforcing equal opportunities for employees and students at the time the policy is being issued. EDS is presently located in Mitchell Hall, Room 359, and can be reached at telephone number 414/229-5923. Should either the name or campus location change in the future, the policy will remain in effect. Corrections will be made as soon as is practicable.
accept complaints that are not in writing or that are filed outside of the 300 day limitation for good cause.

2. If an individual chooses to file a complaint or action with an external (state or federal) agency or court, EDS may suspend its investigation of the matter after consultation with the Office of Legal Affairs. **Filing deadlines for state or federal agencies or courts are administered by those agencies and courts and not extended by the filing of a complaint with EDS.**

3. The complaint must contain, to the extent known by the complaining party: (a) the basis of the charge (i.e., the type of discrimination alleged); (b) the name(s) of the person(s) alleged to have violated this policy; (c) the date of each alleged discriminatory act; (d) the specific facts of each allegation stated in the complaint; (e) the complaining party’s signature; and (f) the date the complaint was submitted to EDS.

E. **Complaints Resulting from an Instructional Situation**

1. A complaint lodged against speech of a discriminatory nature used in the context of instructional setting, may be found to constitute harassment, only if the speech is persistent, pervasive and not germane to the subject matter, or so singularly severe as to create a hostile environment. Protected Expressive Behavior in an instructional situation is explained in UWM Selected Academic & Administrative Policies No. S-44, Public Expression of Opinion).

2. An instructional setting is a setting in which a faculty member or instructor is communicating with student(s) regarding specific academic or curricular matters the instructor or faculty member is responsible for teaching.

F. **Response by EDS**

1. EDS will review the complaint to ensure that it contains the information necessary to proceed. If any necessary information is missing, EDS will request the complaining party to provide that information.

2. At any time after receiving the complaint, EDS may, at its own initiative or at the request of a party, pursue a negotiated resolution of the complaint, pursuant to Section G, below.

3. If EDS determines that the matter involves the alleged misconduct of a student, EDS will work with the Office of Student Life on that aspect of the matter for possible investigation and/or action under UWS Chapters 14 and 17.3

4. Within 10 working days of the filing of the complaint, the Director of EDS (or her or his designee) will provide a copy of the complaint to the person(s) alleged to have violated this policy (the “responding party”) and the Dean or Division Head of the complaining party and responding party. In the event that a conflict of interest exists for anyone involved in processing the complaint, a substitute will be appointed accordingly.

5. EDS will initiate an investigation of the complaint within 10 working days of receipt of the complaint. EDS will complete the investigation within 120 calendar days of receipt of the complaint, unless the Director of EDS approves an extension of the time period, which in most cases will not be later than 180 calendar days from receipt of the complaint. The Director of EDS will grant such an extension only for legitimate and necessary reasons. The investigation may include, but is not limited to: (a) meetings with material persons

---

3 Investigations of student misconduct and imposition of sanctions must conform with the procedures described in UWS Chapters 14 and 17.
who may have relevant information; (b) reviewing relevant records and files; (c) comparing the treatment of the complaining party to that of others who are in similar situations in that person’s department or unit; and (d) reviewing applicable policies and practices.

6. EDS may dismiss a complaint without issuing factual findings and remedial recommendations based on the factual findings if it determines that the circumstances are appropriate for doing so. These circumstances may include, but are not limited to:

If the complaint is without sufficient basis to warrant investigation.

If part or all of the complaint would be handled more appropriately by another department or individual at UWM.

If the complaining party and responding party have agreed to a mutually acceptable resolution of the matter, pursuant to Section G, below, which makes further investigation unnecessary.

If the complaining party requests that the complaint be dismissed.

If the complaining party fails or refuses to cooperate with the investigation.

If the complaining party files a complaint based on the same factual circumstances with an external (non-UWM) agency or court.

G. Negotiated Resolution

1. Negotiated resolution is a process by which EDS attempts to resolve complaints quickly and to the satisfaction of all parties without reaching any formal findings. EDS may initiate a negotiated resolution at the request of any party or at its own initiative. At any time during the negotiated resolution process, either the complaining party or a responding party may elect to terminate the process with respect to their own role in the matter, which will result in the continuation of the investigation.

2. To allow the parties the opportunity to resolve a complaint through a negotiated resolution, EDS may suspend its investigation of the matter. EDS may also extend any or all of the time periods set forth in Section F.5. above (if they have not already passed) for up to 30 additional days during the negotiated resolution process. However, if the negotiated resolution is discontinued by EDS or does not result in a resolution within 30 days from the date it is started, EDS will continue its investigation of the matter.

3. If the complaint is successfully resolved through a negotiated resolution, each party will sign a “negotiated resolution form” prepared by EDS, which describes the agreed-upon terms. EDS will discard all other documents and notes except for the original complaint and the negotiated resolution form.

H. Findings by EDS, Responses and Implementation

1. At the conclusion of the investigation, the Director of EDS will issue to the Chancellor and Provost a report containing factual findings and remedial recommendations based on the factual findings. Copies of the factual findings and remedial recommendations will be provided to the complaining party, the responding party, and the Dean or Division Head of both the complaining party and the responding party and the University Committee (for faculty) or the Academic Staff Committee (for academic staff).
2. Within 10 working days of receipt of the Director of EDS’s factual findings and remedial recommendations, the complaining party or the responding party may respond to the factual findings and remedial recommendations. The response must be in writing and sent to the Chancellor and Provost. The Provost will provide copies of any such responses to the other party, to the Director of EDS, the Dean or Division Head of both the complaining party and the responding party, and the University Committee (for faculty) or the Academic Staff Committee (for academic staff). Responses may be based on (1) whether the evidence supports the findings and/or (2) whether the recommended remedial actions are appropriate.

3. Within 20 working days after the last day that either the complaining party or responding party was given to respond to the factual findings and remedial recommendations, even if neither party filed such an appeal, the Provost will issue a decision regarding the involved parties. The Provost may also address conduct described in the factual findings that violates university policy other than the Equal Employment Opportunity Policy or Discriminatory Conduct Policy. A copy of the decision will be provided to the complaining party, responding party, the Chancellor, the Dean or Division Head of the complaining party and the responding party, the Director of EDS, and the University Committee (for faculty) or the Academic Staff Committee (for academic staff).

4. If the Provost’s decision includes discipline of an employee, the discipline may not be imposed, until additional steps are pursued. The following briefly describes the appropriate process for each employment classification and status. Each party or body who receives the matter from the Provost shall handle the matter in an efficient manner that, to the extent possible, protects the confidentiality of the involved parties.

- **Academic Staff:** The Provost shall forward the decision to the Dean or Division Head for implementation pursuant to UWS 13.01 and Academic Staff Policies and Procedures Chapter 111.

- **Classified Employees and Graduate Assistants:** The Provost shall refer the decision to the employee’s immediate supervisor for implementation consistent with the following authority, as applicable: a collective bargaining agreement; rules of the Secretary of the Department of Employment Relations and Division of Merit Recruitment and Selection; and UWM policies and procedures.

- **Faculty:** The Provost shall forward the decision to the University Committee in the form of a complaint pursuant to UWM Faculty Policies and Procedures 5.42 et al.

- **Limited Term Employees and Student Employees:** The Provost shall refer the decision to the employee’s immediate supervisor for implementation.

5. If the Provost’s decision includes dismissal of an employee, the dismissal must be considered and implemented through an additional process, depending on the employment classification of the employee. The following briefly describes the appropriate process for each classification. Each party or body who receives the matter from the Provost shall handle the matter in an efficient manner that, to the extent possible, protects the confidentiality of the involved parties.

---

4 If the Provost’s review of the matter creates a conflict of interest, the Chancellor or a designee will issue the decision and implement the steps in #3-5, above; for faculty, the designee must be an academic administrator with a faculty backup position.

5 If the determination contemplates non-renewal of an academic staff employee, UWM Academic Staff Policies and Procedures Chapter 106 should apply.
Academic Staff: The Provost shall refer the decision to the employee’s Dean or Division Head for implementation pursuant to UWM Academic Staff Policies and Procedures Chapter 109 and UWS 11, Wis. Admin. Code.

Classified Employees and Graduate Assistants: The Provost shall refer the decision to the employee’s supervisor for implementation consistent with the following authority, as applicable: a collective bargaining agreement; rules of the Secretary of the Department of Employment Relations and Division of Merit Recruitment and Selection; and UWM policies and procedures.

Faculty: The Provost shall send the decision to the Chancellor, who will initiate dismissal proceedings, pursuant to UWM Faculty Policies and Procedures 5.21 et al and UWS 4, Wis. Admin. Code.

Limited Term Employees and Student Employees: The Provost shall refer the decision to the employee’s supervisor for implementation.

I. **Confidentiality**

All individuals involved in the investigation and resolution of a complaint are expected to maintain the confidentiality of the complaint and resolution to the maximum extent possible under the circumstances. Certain disclosures, however, may be necessary to complete the investigation and/or resolution of the matter. In addition, all documents maintained by UWM are potentially subject to the provisions of the Wisconsin open records law.
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