Members:
Mike Allen, Prof, Communication, Chair
Swarnjit Arora, Assoc, Economics
Steve Atkinson, AS, Graduate School
Richard Blau, Prof, Film
Rita Cheng, Provost, Academic Affairs
Faith Crampton, Assoc, Admin Leadership
Scott Emmons, Assoc, Music
Susanne Falco, Assoc, Nursing
Jeffrey Hayes, Prof, Art History
Thomas Holbrook, Prof, Political Science
Jon Lenichek, AS, Enrollment Services
Mary Kay Madsen, Prof, Health Sciences
Richard Meadows, Dean, Letters & Science
Devendra Misra, Prof, Elec Engin/Comp Sci
Beth Weckmueller, AS, Enrollment Services

Charter:
Functions/Responsibilities:

Makes recommendations to the faculty and to campus administration regarding (1) short range and long range academic plans for the campus that are consistent with the mission, and (2) budget implications for these plans.

- Reviews long range plans regarding academic interests that are consistent with the mission of the schools and colleges and the campus;
- Advises faculty on issues related to budget and its impact on academic program quality, student retention, and development;
- Recommends and evaluates planning for new programs that are not the province of a single academic unit;
- Represents the interests of the faculty to the Chancellor and Vice Chancellor and Provost regarding both short range and long range plans for the academic units and the budget implications of these plans;
- Examines those actions taken by the Board of Regents, campus administration, or individual units on campus that impact the overall short range or long range plans for other academic programs on campus and the budget for the academic units;
- Makes recommendations for faculty action;
- Reviews university policies that impact the academic programs of the academic units and long range plans for these units.
Meetings:

1. Research Growth Initiative, RGI, was supported by the committee. A presentation of the report of the Committee was made to the Faculty Senate with a resolution asking for support during November, 2005 Senate meeting. The outcome requires the APBC to report to the Senate in 2006-2007 about the process and outcomes of the exercise.

2. Discussion of Centers on campus took place, the decision of the committee was to monitor the situation and work with Deans and Provost office as issues emerge.

3. Finished Report that was filed with Faculty Senate for May, 2006 meeting about status of Milwaukee Idea. Report contains information on outcomes associated with expenditure of funds to achieve objectives.

4. Continued discussions with various offices and members of administration on the structure and process of the campus budget.

5. The Decision Item Narrative, DIN, process was examined as well as the DIN sent forth in 2006. The committee may need to examine how to make faculty more effectively engaged in the process.