The University Committee recommends to the Senate the following amendments in UWM Laws and Regulations:

Recommendation: In Paragraphs 5B.04, 5B.07 and 5B.09, change president to chancellor.

Rationale: Under the merged system the chancellors have been given the authority in personnel matters which used to rest with the president of the University of Wisconsin. The system presidency is a completely different office than the one referred to in this chapter.

Recommendation: In Paragraphs 5B.06, 5B.07, 5B.08 and 5B.09, change University Faculty Council to University Committee.

Rationale: The UFC, before its discontinuance, recommended an amendment to Chapter 10, and President Weaver accepted their recommendation, approved it and directed the following change in the Laws and Regulations: 108.11 - Change in Status of University Faculty Council. When the University Faculty Council is discontinued, the duties, responsibilities and authority assigned to it by this chapter shall be assigned to the University Committee, or an equivalent body, at each institution.

Recommendation: In Paragraph 5B.07, add after "and his recommendation" to the president and.

Rationale: The Chancellor submits documents to the Regents through the President.

Recommendation: In Paragraph 6.01(2), add at the end of paragraph ex-officio members may be represented by their designates.

Rationale: Eliminate reference to designates throughout the document.

Recommendation: In Paragraph 6.03(1), change member of the administrative staff of that activity to person who administers that activity.

Rationale: The amendment makes the intent of the legislation a little clearer, in that it focuses directly on the "administering person" without using the categorical term "administrative staff." There is so much misunderstanding about this rule that every effort should be made to clarify it. Because the phrase "administrative staff" was used, many have believed this rule to mean no administrator could serve on faculty committees. But the rule is much narrower than that, stating only that an administrator of a particular activity cannot serve as a voting member on a committee which is to advise, consider policy for, or direct that particular activity. The succeeding paragraph (6.03(2)) is a more general rule, stating that administrative staff and their principal professional staff assistants may serve only as ex-officio members of committees generally.

Recommendation: In Paragraphs 6.03(1) and 6.03(4) delete annually.

Rationale: The list of committees which would be designated as those to which these rules should apply will hardly be so flexible as to require that an entire new list be drawn up each year. Let the Faculty, the Senate, or the University Committee designate
the list once and then amend that list as it becomes necessary.

Recommendation: In Paragraph 6.03(2) replace the clause "administrative staff and their principal staff assistants may serve as ex-officio members of committees" with the clause, administrative staff serve as ex-officio members of committees, except where the legislation establishing the committee specifies that such committee members shall be voting members.

Rationale: While the general policy stands that administrators should not vote on faculty committees, in some situations the rule tends to cripple the University's ability to take concerted deliberate action. For example, the Human Rights Committee really should have the benefit of the vote, not just the voice of a dean; also, some administrative users of the computer should have voting rights on the Computer Policy Committee. Paragraph 6.03(1) still applies, so that an administrator who directs an activity would not be given the vote on a committee supervising that activity (for example, the Director of CSD would not have a vote on the Computer Policy Committee).

Recommendation: In Paragraph 6.05(1), add sentence: Accompanying this ballot will be a three-line biographical statement on each nominee.

Rationale: This additional information on the candidates will make it more likely that the voters will know who it is they are voting for, and make it less likely that the election will be no more than a popularity contest. It seems likely that this practice will result in better committees.

Recommendation: In Paragraph 6.05(1), add sentence after "nominations from the floor at a Faculty or Faculty Senate meeting," as follows: Only persons who have agreed to serve shall be slated as nominees.

Rationale: In any event, no person should be a candidate for an office which he does not wish to fill. Too often nominations from the floor are made impulsively, not only without consultation of the person nominated but also without consideration of the person's fitness to serve or of the effect on the election of others. In other words, nominations from the floor frequently serve neither the person nominated nor the institution because they are not well considered. This amendment does not eliminate nominations from the floor but does encourage careful consideration of their effects.

Recommendation: In Paragraph 6.05(2), add at the end of first phrase except where otherwise specified.

Rationale: This amendment is necessary to deal with the appointment of two students to the Athletic Board by a special method as described in 6.18(1).

Recommendation: In Paragraph 6.18(1), add, after "four students" the following: the Assistant Chancellor for Student Services and,

Rationale: Because of changes in the organization of the University administration, the Director of Intercollegiate Athletics now reports to the Assistant Chancellor for Student Services, and
it seems appropriate that the Assistant Chancellor should also be ex-officio on the Athletic Board so that he may, if he wishes, participate in their deliberations. As ex-officio member, he would not have a vote, just as the Director does not have a vote.

Recommendation: In Paragraph 6.18(1) add after "four students" two appointed by the President of Student Government.

Rationale: This amendment corrects the new draft to conform with faculty legislation.

Recommendation: In Paragraph 6.30(1) delete Director of the Institute of Human Relations and.

Rationale: The office of Director of the Institute of Human Relations no longer exists.

Recommendation: In Paragraph 6.30(1) add after "Dean appointed annually," as voting members.

Rationale: The Human Relations Committee has certain legal standing under current state and federal regulations, and it seems appropriate that the appointed classified employee and dean should also have a vote. The original faculty legislation separated the dean and the classified employee from the list of ex-officio members, apparently thinking of the classified person as employee and the dean more in the capacity as faculty member than in his administrative capacity.
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